## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EVELINE HENRIETTE ROSENBERRY,	Case No. C07-05448-JW-PVT
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
MANN BRACKEN, LLC, a Georgia limited liability company,	
Defendant.	
Counsel report that they have met and following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16  The parties agree to participate in the followin	
Court Processes:	
☐ Non-binding Arbitration (AI	OR L.R. 4)
☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (El	
✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
ADR phone conference and may not file this for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule	at any other form of ADR, must participate in an orm. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process:	
□ Private ADR (please ide	entify process and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:	
<u> </u>	e (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
□ other requested deadline	e

Dated: <u>January 18, 2008</u>		/s/ Fred W. Schwinn
·		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: <u>January 18,</u>	2008	/s/ Tomio B. Narita
		Attorney for Defendants
	[PROP	OSED] ORDER
Pursuant to	the Stipulation above, the	e captioned matter is hereby referred to:
	Non-binding Arbitrati	on
	Early Neutral Evaluat	ion (ENE)
	Mediation	
	Private ADR	
Deadline for	ADR session	
	90 days from the date of this order.	
	other	
IT IS SO ORDERE	D.	
Dated:		LINUTED CELLEG
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT/MAGISTRATE JUDGE